

THE BIG SALARY

Aut inveniam viam, aut faciam.

VOL. III. NO. 11.

LOUISA. LAWRENCE CO., KY., NOVEMBER 3, 1887.

M. P. CONLEY Publisher.

CURRENT TOPICS.

Horned collars are going, it is said. The bird on the hat is less seen than of yore.

The streets of Vienna are swept and washed every morning.

Travels has made its appearance among Battle Creek (Mich.) horses.

The harp as a fashionable instrument grows in favor in New York.

A fund to keep Goddard's grave in order has been started in London.

This capacity of the United States steel mill factories is \$371,000 tons a year.

Mr. Grey and Miss Black, both black, are licensed to wed in Lycoming, Pa.

Over 6,000 accident suits are pending against the electric roads in New York.

Mrs. Elizabeth Pearson, the enthusiastic kindergarten, is past eighty-three years old.

The question of teaching German in the public schools is under discussion in St. Louis.

An effort is being made to unite the coal miners of this country into a national organization.

Three New York saloon-keepers have been sentenced to break stone in the jail for selling liquor on Sunday.

A terrible disease, known as black smallpox, is raging in parts of Mexico, and has crossed into Arizona.

Nearly all the Eastern railroads are introducing some kind of steam device for heating their passenger cars.

A turkey which when dressed weighed twenty-four pounds is one of the productions which Cole County, Mo., brags of.

The latest thing in albums is the hand and foot album, the leaves of which contain outlines, life size, of the hand or foot.

Preparations are being made in St. Paul for the winter carnival and the work of rebuilding the ice palace will begin ere long.

A Merrill (Wis.) business man bought a white cow, and after duly embellishing her with advertisements let her run the streets of the city.

J. Q. A. Ward has been selected as the sculptor for the Beecher monument, for which a fund of \$25,000 is already subscribed.

An exchange says Nina Van Zandt and George Francis Tinsley ought to get married and then start the country as its greatest living folk.

Two buxom Indian corn are thin, and the golden rod was yellow than usual. This, the weather-wise say, is indicative of an open winter.

A centric shaft in memory of the Confederate General "Jeb" Stuart is shortly to be erected near Yellow Tavern, Va., where he was killed.

A new lathe has been invented that turns a square cornered stick to fit the hole made by the square bore auger, in a few days.

CHATTANOOGA COUNTY, Kan., in which Jola is situated, has gone into cotton raising, and will ship about thirty car-loads this year. It is ginned at Jola.

A Pennsylvania syndicate has purchased 30,000 acres of land in Walker County, Ga., and will erect furnaces and build a railroad to Chattanooga.

The bitter feeling between Germany and Russia has broken out afresh, and both countries are building fortifications along their respective frontiers.

There are now 363 convicts in the Kansas penitentiary. Up to the present time, a large force has been employed upon the extension of the present building.

The King of Corea furnished his winter palace with \$18,000 worth of American chairs, beds and tables. He also bought an American steamer for \$25,000.

The U. S. Consul at Demerara reports that the planters in that colony are alarmed at the English market and manufacturing sugars for the United States.

An advertisement in a Georgia paper for a man to watch a store in Tampa, Fla., during the yellow fever epidemic, was answered by upwards of a score of persons.

A veteran clock-repairer at Modesto, Cal., has made himself a clock in the shape of a log in which he wishes to be cremated, becoming a sort of roasted chestnut, as it were.

The Mexican Government has contracted with an American to plant 2,000,000 trees in the Mexican Valley. The varieties are to be ash, poplar, acacia and mountain cedar.

A railroad station agent at Duprick, N. Y., in his leisure time has whittled out of 280 pieces of wood a perfect model of a locomotive and tender. He was five months at the job.

James D. Foss, ex-president of the Marine Bank, who is now in Auburn prison, is said to be one of the most patient, well-disciplined and uncomplaining men in that institution.

Mrs. John Jacob Astor pays her chief clerk \$7,000 per annum, and then has to content herself with getting the plainest kind of fare. She is troubled with dyspepsia in its worst form.

Dr. Lucien Howe says blindness is on the increase in the United States, and that we now have with us fifty thousand blind. Contagion and immigration are set down among the chief causes.

A German brass finisher, who committed suicide in a New York boarding-house, left a note for the landlady, saying that he was sorry to trouble her, but that the doctor compelled him to kill himself in do.

The tower which is being erected by the Russians on the highest point of the Mount of Olives is already several stories high, and it is to be so high that both the Mediterranean and Dead Sea may be seen from the top.

An estimate of the value of the products of the orchards and vineyards of California in 1887 has been made, which foots up a total of nearly \$18,000,000. More than five-sixths of the whole amount was produced in Central California.

The old family Bible that belonged to the Maynards, the mother of Washington, is still in existence, and is kept in a branch of the Washington family in Virginia. It contains the family register, recording the birth of George Washington, February 22, 1732. The binding has a cover of cloth woven by the hands of his mother.

GONE DOWN.

The Propeller Vernon Caught in a Terrible Gale.

Her Entire Crew and Passengers Believed to be Lost.

MILWAUKEE, Wis., Oct. 20.—The passenger-propeller Vernon was wrecked in the terrible gale that swept Lake Michigan yesterday, and her entire crew of twenty-two persons and the passengers, number unknown, are supposed to have been lost. It involves a greater loss of life than any of the previous disasters on the lake this season, not excepting the propeller Champlain, which burned early in the spring off Charlevoix, drowning twenty-four persons. A most singular coincidence is that the Vernon was the vessel which took the place of the Champlain in the Northern Michigan Line, and was one of the finest furnished passenger boats on the lakes, and had a valuation of \$75,000. Captain Moran, of the steamship Superior, which arrived here at 5:30 o'clock last night, brought the first news of the wreck. Capt. Moran saw the crew on four rafts, and also a small boat containing a woman and three men. Though he made an effort to save them, the high seas prevented him from rendering any assistance. The Superior herself being disabled. He saw "one man on a raft appealing for our help, another dying from exposure, and a small boat in which we could see one woman and three men, one of the latter hailing with a coat stuck up on his ear, all being tossed about in a terrible sea, without our being able to render them any assistance, was heartrending in the extreme." The finding of the pilot-house of the propeller Vernon by a tug near Manitowish, Wis., settles all doubt regarding the identity of the lost vessel. Scarcely any other traces of the wreck have been seen to-day, and nothing has been heard of those on board. It is feared that not a single soul of the thirty or more aboard is left to tell the story of the disaster. A forlorn hope is entertained that a passing steamer may have picked up some of the unfortunate, but with the terrible sea that was running it would have been almost a miracle to accomplish a rescue.

The captain of the life-saving crew at Two Rivers describes the storm as the worst ever seen by him on the lake, the waves rising to the height of thirty or forty feet.

FRIGHTFUL DEATH

Of an Aeronaut at St. Louis—Dropped to the Earth and Impaled on an Iron Rod.

ST. LOUIS, Oct. 30.—At the closing performance of the "Last Days of Pompeii" a terrible accident occurred, resulting in the death of Antonio Infante. Tonight being the last of the season for the spectacle, Antonio Infante proposed to make a balloon ascension. Shortly after nine o'clock the balloon, a hot-air affair, was brought out to the immense stage for the audience of two thousand people. The airship started up quickly and had attained the height of 500 feet when there was a collapse, and the aeronaut plunged to the ground, holding desperately to the sagging ropes. The descent was very rapid, and as he came down before the audience, he was thrown upon an iron-rod from which rockets were being fired. Death ensued immediately. The horrified spectators rushed to the scene, but the body was so badly mangled that it was not possible to identify the man. He was a Frenchman, and the place was quickly deserted. The "Last Days of Pompeii" ending with a horrible catastrophe.

YOUTHFUL BANK CASHIER ABSENDS.

DENVER, Colo., Oct. 30.—Leslie Hayes, the nineteen-year-old cashier of the Bank of Commerce, La Jolla, Cal., left the bank last Tuesday night, and, as he did not appear on Wednesday, suspicions were aroused. An examination shows that \$2,000 or \$3,000 were taken. His disappearance was not reported until yesterday, and it is believed that he has fled to Mexico. His home is in Denver, and the bank will lose nothing.

ST. LOUIS PRINTERS WON'T STRIKE.

ST. LOUIS, Mo., Oct. 30.—The Typographical Union, at a largely attended meeting today, decided not to strike because their dues have not been paid. The union has a large number of members, and the dues have not been paid for some time. The union is a powerful one, and the decision is a significant one.

AN EDITOR FATALLY SHOT.

ASHLAND, O., Oct. 30.—W. H. Reynolds, editor of the Ashland Times, was shot and instantly killed during a trial in a Justice's court at Naubin, a village four miles north of here. The shooting was done by J. R. Mason, and is the result of a feud long standing. The murderer was arrested and confined in jail.

FRIGHTFULLY BURNED.

LIMA, O., Oct. 30.—Yesterday afternoon John Martin, employed as a punper in the oil field, was inside of a boiler repairing it, when a terrific explosion took place, burning Martin frightfully. The gas arising from the oil used as fuel in the furnace was the cause of the explosion.

WIFE MURDER AND SUICIDE.

NEW YORK, Oct. 30.—Louis Rabiner, twenty-seven years of age, of No. 221 North Eighth street, Brooklyn, shot his wife through the left breast, killing her instantly, and then shot himself in the head to-night, and will probably die. Jealousy was the cause.

THE POPE'S VIEWS ON IRELAND.

LONDON, Oct. 30.—The Chronicle's Rome dispatch emphatically denies the Pope holds the same views as Mr. Gladstone in regard to Ireland. The correspondent says: "His Holiness believes in measures for settlement of the agrarian difficulties of the country, but not in home rule."

A lady who visited the baby show the other day, while speaking at breakfast of some twins, said: "They are about the age and size of Amy, but much better looking." The little girl bristled up and replied: "I don't see how that can be."—Boston Traveler.

—Mother of four-year-old— "If your dolly has been naughty as you say, Flossie, why don't you give her a whipping?" Flossie (thoughtfully)— "Cause I don't believe in that sort of thing."—N. Y. Sun.

ANNIHILATION.

Saloon at Russellville, Ind., Blown Up by Dynamite—A Bad Wreck Made of It.

KOKOMO, Ind., Oct. 28.—Russellville, a thriving village of one thousand inhabitants, twelve miles west of here, in Howard County, was shaken from stem to stern about one o'clock this morning by a terrific explosion, arousing the natives, who, upon investigation, found the only saloon in the place, belonging to Peter Kempf, located opposite the Chandler Hotel, had been blown up by dynamite. Indications were that the explosive had been placed under the floor beneath the bar, blowing it to atoms, demolishing the building generally, also blowing away part of the office of John Orr's livery stable, badly stunning a young man asleep therein, rendering him unconscious for hours. No clue to the perpetrators. The citizens of Russellville have fought bitterly every application for license to retail liquor there. Kempf applied for license, which the commissioners refused. He appealed to the Circuit Court. A change of venue had been taken to Clinton County. While awaiting next court at Frankfort he was doing business under Government license.

BOILERS EXPLODE.

Cannery the Fatal Scalding of Four Persons and the Destruction of Considerable Property.

NEW PALM BEACH, O., Oct. 28.—About five o'clock this evening the large double boiler at Holden's fire-brick works, at Mineral Point, this county, exploded with terrific force, fatally scalding four persons and seriously injuring five others. The following persons were reported fatally hurt: Frank Hartley, James Milward, W. Louder, and a boy named Graham. Several others, whose names could not be learned at this late hour, were more or less injured. The head of one of the boilers was found at the quarry two hundred yards from the engine. The explosion caused the most intense excitement in the neighborhood, and was heard for miles around.

Acquitted of Murder.

KNOXVILLE, TENN., Oct. 28.—Hon. W. E. P. Milburn, of Greenville, was acquitted this morning on the charge of murdering Wm. Ward. The murder grew out of the recent prohibition election. Ward's mother, who was a rank prohibitionist, made a charge of a criminal nature against her son, and he, in his speech, the boy, only fifteen years of age, armed himself for the purpose of defending his mother's good name, and Milburn, hearing of it, put a pistol in his pocket and shot Ward the first opportunity. Milburn's attorneys pleaded self-defense.

English Farmers as Trade Unionists.

LONDON, Oct. 28.—A notable instance of the strong hold which trades unionism has upon the working classes of this country is shown in the fact that the Agricultural Labourers' Union, which was organized by Joseph Arch and Thomas Taylor little more than ten years ago, has now a revenue fund of nearly \$100,000. This fact was developed by an application made a few days ago to the Agricultural Union for the fund from the control of trustees to that of a bank and his lordship could not refrain from expressing surprise that the organization had been enabled to accumulate so large a fund.

Next Robbery by a Woman.

BOSTON, Oct. 28.—At noon today a couple containing a man and woman drove up to the Roxbury Gas Company's office. The woman alighted and told the cashier, who was alone, that a gentleman in the carriage desired to speak to him. The cashier went outside, and on returning fifteen minutes later met the woman coming out of the office. She entered the carriage, which was driven rapidly away before the cashier discovered that the drawer had been robbed of \$50.

Four of the Convicts Killed.

YUMA, ARIZ., Oct. 28.—Four of the convicts who attempted to escape from prison yesterday and assaulted Superintendent Gates and were killed, were buried this afternoon. A petition to the Governor is being largely signed by the convicts who were shot, who shot the convict who was stabbing Superintendent Gates. Three of the killed convicts were in for long terms and one for grand larceny. Superintendent Gates will probably die.

And Why Not?

PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 28.—Colonel G. G. Fisher, ex-consul to Smyrna, who arrived here on the Lord Grey with two cases of wine, one intended for President Cleveland and the other for Secretary Bland, has been compelled to pay the usual duty on the wine. It was thought that the two cases would be admitted free, but Surveyor Campbell decided that the duty must be paid.

Successful Electric Street Railway.

RICHMOND, Va., Oct. 28.—The new electric line of the Richmond Union Passenger Railway Company, running entirely through this city, is about finished and the first car was run over it last night. The success was complete. This line covers a distance of twelve miles—the longest electric road in the world. People here are jubilant over the result of the trial trip.

Canadian Emigrants Coming.

OTTAWA, Ont., Oct. 28.—Emigration papers to over fifty persons going to the United States to settle have been issued by the American Consul here during the last three days. A majority of the emigrants are farmers and many are taking thousands of dollars' worth of personal effects.

Epidemic of Young Widows.

PEBBLE, Ont., Oct. 28.—Seven young widows have died in the vicinity of Peabody, having been arrested on the charge of having poisoned their husbands. Other arrests for similar crimes are imminent.

Maniac Sets Himself on Fire.

MILWAUKEE, Wis., Oct. 28.—This morning Linda Geissert, aged twenty-six years, the maniac daughter of John Geissert, a well known saloon-keeper, eluded her keeper and after saturating her clothing with kerosene oil set fire to her person. She was burned in a shocking manner and will probably die.

Bulgarian Railways.

VIENNA, Oct. 28.—It is stated that Prince Ferdinand of Bulgaria has advanced \$200,000 from his private fortune to the Bulgarian treasury to hasten the completion of railways.

SOLD TOO CHEAPLY.

Robert Garrett's Chief Cause of Complaint.

In Parting With the Baltimore and Ohio Telegraph Company.

ST. PAUL, Minn., Oct. 27.—Robert Garrett and party parted yesterday in driving about the city. The party called on ex-Governor Ramsey, with whom they spent a few hours. During the afternoon Mr. Garrett took a drive with Senator Sabin. Mr. Garrett not only took a great deal of interest in all that was shown him, but talked freely on the subject of the recent sale of the Baltimore and Ohio Telegraph Company to the Western Union. "One thing that was gained by Mr. Garrett," said Ramsey, "was that the increase of the rate of telegraph tolls first sent me \$1,000,000, and then I had \$10,000,000 for it. Those were the figures that I fixed upon when the subject of a sale was first broached, and they should have been adhered to. The property was worth it, and Mr. Garrett sold it, else he would not have been so anxious to get negotiations."

This is merely a sample of the manner in which Mr. Garrett discussed the matter, and the burden of his complaint was that he had not been dealt fairly with in the transaction. Mr. Garrett, a brother-in-law of Mr. Garrett, said that the purpose of Mr. Garrett's trip was to obtain needed rest from business cares, and Mr. Garrett was attending to all correspondence. "So far as any statement from Mr. Garrett is concerned," continued Mr. Garrett, "I do not think there is any urgent necessity for such action at this time. But, rest assured, there will be a communication to the public in due season that will go far toward clearing up numerous misstatements growing out of the recent sale of the telegraph company."

STRANGE SIGHT.

A Murderer Attends the Funeral of His Victim, and Weeps Over the Coffin.

PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 27.—A man standing by the side of a coffin in which lay the man he had murdered, wept bitterly, and his hands and face were covered with tears. The man was a young man, and the victim was an old man. The man who wept was the murderer, and the old man was the victim. The man who wept was the murderer, and the old man was the victim.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

THE ANARCHISTS' PLEA.

Argument on the Motion for a Writ of Error Begins in the Federal Supreme Court. J. Randolph Tucker Opens the Legal Battle for the Defense—Synopsis of His Plea and the Reply of Attorney-General Hunt, of Illinois.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 28.—The hearing of arguments for and against the motion for a writ of error in the case of the petitioners against the State of Illinois, began yesterday in the Federal Supreme Court, before the full bench. Following is a synopsis of the arguments for and against the motion for a writ of error in the case of the petitioners against the State of Illinois, begun yesterday in the Federal Supreme Court, before the full bench.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court. The first of these questions was raised by the petitioners, and the second by the State of Illinois.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

Mr. Tucker then said that in the order made by the court in this case it was announced that a writ of error did not issue from this court, as a matter of course, but only upon proof that the jury was not properly constituted. However, that if the court had jurisdiction then the writ must issue as a matter of right, and to establish the jurisdiction of the court it was only necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below. Proceeding then to the merits of the case, Mr. Tucker said that he was not necessary to show that the jury was properly constituted and the rulings of the court below.

THE BIG CANNY

Aut inveniam viam, aut faciam.

VOL. III. NO. 11.

LOUISA. LAWRENCE CO., KY., NOVEMBER 3, 1887.

M. P. CONLEY Publisher.

CURRENT TOPICS.

Front linen collars are going, 'tis said. The bird on the hat is less seen than of yore.

The streets of Vienna are swept and washed every morning.

ZIPPER has made its appearance among Battle Creek (Mich.) horses.

The harp as a fashionable instrument grows in favor in New York.

A rum to keep Goldenrod's grave in order has been started in London.

The capacity of the United States steel rail factories is 3,671,000 tons a year.

Mr. GREEN and Miss BLUE, both black, are licensed to wed in Lycoming, Pa.

OVER 6,000 accident suits are pending against the electric roads in New York.

Miss ELIZABETH PRATT, the enthusiastic kindergarten, is past eighty-three years old.

The question of teaching German in the public schools is under discussion in St. Louis.

An effort is being made to unite the coal miners of this country into a national organization.

THREE New York saloon-keepers have been sentenced to break stone in the jail for selling liquor on Sunday.

A TERRIBLE disease, known as black smallpox, is raging in parts of Mexico, and has crossed into Arizona.

NEARLY all the Eastern railroads are introducing some kind of steam device for heating their passenger coaches.

A TURKEY which when dressed weighed twenty-four pounds is one of the productions which Cole County, Mo., brags of.

The latest thing in albums is the hand and foot album, the leaves of which contain outlines, life size, of the hand or foot.

PREPARATIONS are being made in St. Paul for the winter carnival and the work of rebuilding the ice palace will begin ere long.

A MERRILL (Wis.) business man bought a white cow, and after duly embellishing her with advertisements let her run the streets.

J. Q. A. WARD has been selected as the sculptor for the Bescher monument, for which a fund of \$25,000 is already subscribed.

An exchange says Nina Van Zandt and George Francis Train ought to get married and then star the country as the greatest living fools.

THE husks on Indian corn are thin, and the golden rod is yellower than usual. This, the weather-wise say, is indicative of an open winter.

A GRANITE shaft in memory of the Confederate General "Jeb" Stuart is shortly to be erected near Yellow Tavern, Va., where he was killed.

A NEW lute has been invented that turns a square cornered stick to fit the hole made by the square bore auger, invented a few years ago.

CHATTANOOGA COUNTY, Kan., in which Iowa is situated, has gone into cotton raising, and will ship more than thirty cars this year. It is ginned at Iowa.

A PENNSYLVANIA syndicate has purchased 20,000 acres of land in Walker County, Ga., and will erect furnaces and build a railroad to Chattanooga.

The bitter feeling between Germany and Russia has been broken out afresh, and both countries are building fortifications along their respective frontiers.

THERE are now 63 convicts in the Kansas penitentiary. Up to the present time a large force has been employed upon the extension of the penitentiary.

The Kent of Corea furnished his winter palace with \$18,000 worth of American chairs, beds and tables. He also bought an American steamer for \$25,000.

THE U. S. Consul at Demerara reports that the planters in that colony are abandoning the English market and manufacturing sugar for the West Indies.

An advertisement in a Georgia paper for a man to watch a store in Tampa, Fla., during the yellow fever epidemic, was answered by upwards of a score of persons.

A VETERAN clock-repairer at Modus, Ct., has made himself a coffin from a chestnut log, in which he wishes to be cremated, becoming a sort of roasted chestnut, as it were.

THE Mexican Government has contracted with an American to plant 2,000,000 trees in the Mexican Valley. The varieties are to be ash, poplar, acacia and mountain cedar.

A RAILROAD station agent at Dunkirk, N. Y., in his leisure time has whittled out of 280 pieces of wood a perfect model of a locomotive and tender. He was five months at the job.

JAMES D. FISH, ex-president of the Marine Bank, who is now in Auburn prison, is said to be one of the most patriotic, well disciplined and uncompromising men in that institution.

MRS. JOHN JACOB ASTOR pays her chief cost \$7,000 per annum, and then has to content herself with eating the plainest kind of fare. She is troubled with dyspepsia in its worst form.

DR. LUCIEN HOWE says blindness is on the increase in the United States, and that we now have with us fifty thousand blind. Contagion and immigration are set down among the chief causes.

THERE is a fencing school in Broadway, New York, that is for women only. A small sign beside the doorway says: "Fencing school for ladies. Gives health, strength, beauty and grace."

THE president of the Western Union Telegraph company announces the company's intention to make a uniform rate of twenty-five cents for ten words between any two points in the same State.

A GEMMAN brass finisher, who committed suicide in a New York boarding-house, left a note for the landlady, saying that he was sorry to trouble her, but the weather compelled him to kill himself indoors.

THE tower which is being erected by the Russians on the highest point of the Mount of Olives is already several stories high, and but one more is to be added. It is to be so high that both the Medians, raven and Dead Sea may be seen from the top.

AN estimate of the value of the products of the orchards and vineyards of California in 1887 has been made, which foots up a total of nearly \$18,000,000. More than five-sixths of the whole amount was produced in Central California.

THE old family Bible that belonged to "Mary, the mother of Washington," is still in existence, and is kept in a branch of the Washington family in Virginia. It contains the family register, recording the birth of George Washington, February 22, 1732. The binding has a cover of cloth woven by the hands of his mother.

GONE DOWN.

The Propeller Vernon Caught in a Terrible Gale.

Her Entire Crew and Passengers Believed to be Lost.

MILWAUKEE, Wis., Oct. 30.—The passenger-propeller Vernon was wrecked in the terrible gale that swept Lake Michigan yesterday, and her entire crew of twenty-two persons and the passengers, number unknown, are supposed to have been lost. It involves a greater loss of life than any of the previous disasters on the lake this season, not excepting the propeller Champlain, which burned early in the spring off Charlevoix, drowning twenty-four persons. A most singular coincidence is that the Vernon was the vessel which took the place of the Champlain in the Northern Michigan Line, and had only been on the line since August 1. She was one of the finest furnished passenger boats on the lakes, and had a valuation of \$75,000. Captain Moran, of the steamship Superior, which arrived here at 8:30 o'clock last night, brought the first news of the wreck. Capt. Moran saw the crew on raft, and also a small boat containing a woman and three children, who were making a vain effort to save them, the high sea preventing him from rendering any assistance. The Superior herself being disabled. He said: "To pass one man on a raft appealing for our help, another dying from exposure, and a small boat in which we could see one woman and three men, one of the latter hailing with a coat stuck up on his ear, all being tossed about in a terrible sea, without our being able to render them any assistance, was heartrending in the extreme." The finding of the pilot-house of the propeller Vernon by a tug near Manitowish, Wis., settles all doubt regarding the identity of the lost vessel. Scarcely any other traces of the cargo have been seen today, and nothing has been heard of those on board.

Not a single soul of the thirty or more aboard is left to tell the story of the disaster. A forlorn hope is entertained that a passing vessel may have picked up some of the unfortunate, but with the terrible sea that was running it would have been a miracle to accomplish a rescue. The captain of the life-saving crew at Two Rivers describes the storm as the worst ever seen by him on the lake, the waves rising to the height of thirty or forty feet.

FRIGHTFUL DEATH

Of an Aeronaut at St. Louis—Dropped to the Earth and Impaled on an Iron Rod.

St. Louis, Oct. 30.—At the closing performance of Paine's "Last Days of Pompeii" a terrible accident occurred, resulting in the death of Antonio Infante. The night being the last of the season for the spectacle, Antonio Infante proposed to make a balloon ascension. Shortly after nine o'clock the balloon, a hot-air affair, was brought out to the immense stage before the audience of two thousand people. The ascent started up quickly and had attained the height of 500 feet when there was a collapse, and the aeronaut plunged to the ground, holding desperately to the ropes which held the sagging canvas. The descent was very rapid, and as he came down he was very close to the ground, and he was thrown upon an iron rod from which rockets were being fired. Death ensued immediately. The horrified spectators rushed to the scene, but could give no aid, and the place was quickly deserted. A coroner's jury was summoned, and a coroner's inquest was held.

YOUTHFUL CASHIER ASSAULTED.

DENVER, Colo., Oct. 30.—Lester Hayes, the nineteen-year-old cashier of the Bank of Commerce, at La Junta, Colo., left that town last Tuesday night, and, as he did not appear on Wednesday, suspicion was aroused. An examination shows that \$6,000 or \$8,000 were taken. His disappearance was not reported until yesterday, and it is believed that he fled to Mexico. His bondsman are good, and the bank will lose nothing.

ST. LOUIS PRINTERS WON'T STRIKE.

St. Louis, Mo., Oct. 30.—The Typographical Union, at a largely attended meeting today, decided not to strike because their demand for nine hours' work for ten hours' pay was refused by the employers. A partial promissory election was made, however, by which they will raise wages from eighteen to nineteen dollars per week.

AN EDITOR FATALLY SHOT.

ASHLAND, O., Oct. 30.—W. H. Reynolds, editor of the Ashland Times, was shot and instantly killed during a trial in a justice's court at Nankin, a village four miles north of here. The shooting was done by J. R. Mason, and is the result of a feud long standing. The murderer was arrested and confined in jail.

FRIGHTFULLY BURNED.

LAWRENCE, Mo., Oct. 30.—Yesterday afternoon John Martin, employed as a pumpman in the oil field, was inside of a boiler repairing it, when a terrific explosion took place, burning Martin frightfully. The gas arising from the oil in the boiler fuel the furnace was the cause of the explosion.

WIFE MURDER AND SUICIDE.

NEW YORK, Oct. 31.—Louis Pfaller, twenty-seven years of age, of No. 221 North Eighth street, Brooklyn, shot his wife through the left breast, killing her instantly, and then shot himself in the head and neck, and will probably die. Jealousy was the cause.

THE POPE'S VIEWS ON IRELAND.

LONDON, Oct. 30.—The Chronicle's Rome dispatch emphatically denies the Pope holds the same views as Mr. Gladstone in regard to Ireland. The correspondent says: His Holiness is of opinion that the difficulties of the country, but not in human race.

A LADY WHO VISITED THE BABY SHOW.

—Mother (to four-year-old)—"If your dolly has been naughty as you say, Flossie, why don't you give her a spanking?" Flossie (thoughtfully)—"Cause I don't believe in that sort of thing."—N. Y. Sun.

ANNIHILATION.

Saloon at Russellville, Ind., Blown Up by Dynamite—A Bad Wreck Made of It.

KOKOMO, Ind., Oct. 28.—Russellville, a thriving village of one thousand inhabitants, twelve miles west of here, in Howard County, was shaken from stem to stern about one o'clock this morning by a terrific explosion, arousing the natives, who, upon investigation, found the only saloon in the place, belonging to Peter Kempf, located opposite the Chandler Hotel, had been blown up by dynamite. Indications were that the explosive had been placed under the floor beneath the bar, blowing it to atoms, demolishing the building generally, also blowing away part of the office of John Orr's livery stable, badly stunning a young man asleep therein, rendering him unconscious for hours. No clew to the perpetrators. The citizens of Russellville have fought bitterly every application for license in the past year, Kempf applied for license, which the commissioners refused. He appealed to the Circuit Court. A change of venue had been taken to Clinton County. While awaiting next court at Frankfort he was doing business under government license.

BOILERS EXPLODE.

Causing the Fatal Scalding of Four Persons and the Destruction of Considerable Property.

NEW PHILADELPHIA, O., Oct. 28.—About five o'clock this evening the large double boilers at Holden's fire-brick works, at Mineral Point, Gas county, exploded with terrific force, fatally scalding four persons and seriously injuring five others. The following persons are reported fatally hurt: Frank Harter, James Milward, W. Louters, and a boy named Frank. Several others, whose names could not be learned at this late hour, were more or less injured. The head of one of the boilers was found at the quarry, two hundred yards from the engine. The explosion caused the most intense excitement in miles around, and was heard for miles around.

ACQUITTED OF MURDER.

KNOXVILLE, Tenn., Oct. 28.—Hon. W. E. F. Milburn, of Greenville, was acquitted this morning on the charge of murdering Wm. Ward. The murder grew out of the recent prohibition election. Ward's mother keeps a saloon in Greenville, and Milburn, who was a rank prohibitionist, made a charge of a criminal nature against her in one of his speeches. The boy, only nineteen years of age, armed himself with a revolver, and, on the morning of the election, he shot Ward, his mother's son, in the back, and shot Ward the first opportunity. Milburn's attorneys pleaded self-defense.

ENGLISH FARMERS AS TRADE UNIONISTS.

LONDON, Oct. 28.—A notable instance of the strong hold which trades unionism has upon the working classes of this country is afforded in the fact that the Agricultural Laborers' Union, which was organized by Joseph Arch, a bank and his lordship, has more than ten years ago, has now a reserve fund of nearly \$100,000. This fact was developed by an application made a few days ago to Justice Charles for leave to transfer the fund from the control of trustees to the union, and the application was refused. The union had been enabled to accumulate so large a fund.

NEAT ROBBERY BY A WOMAN.

BOSTON, Oct. 28.—At noon to-day a compe containing a man and a woman drove up to the Roxbury Gas Company's office. The woman alighted and told the cashier, who was alone, that a gentleman in the carriage desired to speak to him. The cashier went outside, and on returning fifteen minutes later met the woman come up to the office. She entered the carriage, which was driven rapidly away before the cashier discovered that the drawer had been robbed of \$500.

FOUR OF THE CONVICTS KILLED.

YUMA, Ariz., Oct. 28.—Four of the convicts who attempted to escape from prison yesterday and assaulted Superintendent Gates and were killed, were buried this afternoon. A petition to the Governor is being largely signed for the pardon of Convict Riggs, who shot the convicts yesterday and assaulted Superintendent Gates. Three of the killed convicts were in for long terms and one for grand larceny. Superintendent Gates will probably die.

AND WHY NOT?

PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 28.—Colonel G. G. Fisher, ex-consul to Smyrna, who arrived here on the Lord Gough with two cases of wine, one intended for President Cleveland and the other for Secretary Bayard, has been compelled to pay a fine of \$100 for the wine. It was thought that the two cases would be admitted free, but Surveyor Campbell decided that the duty must be paid.

SUCCESSFUL ELECTRIC STREET RAILWAY.

RICHMOND, Va., Oct. 28.—The electric trolley line of the Richmond Union Passenger Railway Company, running entirely through this city, is about finished and the first car was run over it last night. The success was complete. This line covers a distance of twelve miles—the longest run of the kind in the world. The line here is jubilant over the result of the trial trip.

CANADIAN EMIGRANTS COMING.

OTTAWA, Oct. 28.—Emigration papers to over fifty persons going to the United States to settle have been issued by the American Consul here during the last three days. A majority of the emigrants are farmers and many take with them thousands of dollars' worth of personal effects.

EPIDEMIC OF YOUNG WIDOWS.

PESTH, Oct. 28.—Seven young widows residing in Pesth are arrested on the charge of having poisoned their husbands. Other arrests for similar crimes are imminent.

MANIA SETS HERSELF ON FIRE.

MILWAUKEE, Wis., Oct. 28.—This morning Lina Geissert, aged twenty-six years, the mania daughter of John Geissert, a well known saloon-keeper, eluded her keeper and after saturating her clothing with kerosene oil set fire to her person. She was burned in a shocking manner and will probably die.

BULGARIAN RAILWAYS.

VIENNA, Oct. 28.—It is stated that Prince Ferdinand, of Bulgaria, has advanced \$200,000 from his private fortune to the Bulgarian treasury to hasten the completion of railways.

SOLD TOO CHEAPLY.

Robert Garrett's Chief Cause of Complaint.

In Parting With the Baltimore and Ohio Telegraph Company.

ST. PAUL, Minn., Oct. 27.—Robert Garrett and party spent yesterday in driving about the city. The party called on ex-Governor Ramsey, with whom they spent a few hours. During the afternoon Mr. Garrett took a drive with Senator Sahlin. Mr. Garrett not only took a great deal of interest in all that was shown him, but talked freely on the subject of the recent sale of the Baltimore and Ohio Telegraph Company to the Western Union. "One thing that was gained by Mr. Gould in securing this franchise," said Mr. Garrett, "was that the increase of the rate of telegraph tolls five cents meant \$1,000,000 a year to his company, and with the Baltimore and Ohio out of the way he was enabled to save the interest on \$3,000,000 more. One of the things that annoyed me most was when I heard that the company had been sold out for \$5,000,000, when we should have had \$10,000,000 for it. Those were the figures that I fixed upon when the subject of a sale was first broached, and they should have been adhered to. The property was worth it, and Mr. Gould knew it, else he would not have been so anxious to close negotiations. This is merely a sample of the manner in which Mr. Gould has handled the matter, and the burden of his complaint was that he had not been dealt fairly with in the transaction. Mr. Frick, a brother-in-law of Mr. Garrett, said that the purpose of Mr. Garrett's trip was to bring back a check for \$1,000,000, and Mr. Barnard was attending to all correspondence. "So far as any state from Mr. Garrett is concerned," continued Mr. Frick, "we do not think there is any urgent necessity for such action at this time. But, rest assured, there will be a communication to the public very soon that will go far toward clearing up numerous misstatements growing out of the recent sale of the telegraph company."

STRANGE SIGHT.

A Murderer Attends the Funeral of His Victim, and Weeps Over the Coffin.

PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 27.—A man standing by the side of a coffin in which lay the man he had killed, with tears coursing down his cheeks and his form bending with grief, such was the sight witnessed by the immense crowd of people who attended the funeral of Howard B. Quay, in East Whiteland Township, Chester County, near this city, this afternoon. Quay was killed by a bullet fired by George W. Staddon during a quarrel, and as the latter is out on bail he was enabled to be at the funeral. Staddon was invited to be present by the dead man's relatives. He is apparently much broken down by the affair, and his sympathy is felt for him. Rev. Mr. Wallick, of St. Paul's Reformed Church of Philadelphia, preached a powerful sermon at the funeral, painting in lively colors the terrible misfortune brought upon two young men in a quarrel of this kind. He exhorted himself to the young men present, and his words made a deep impression upon all who heard them.

ANOTHER TURN IN A STRANGE CASE.

SAN FRANCISCO, Oct. 27.—At the inquest last night over the remains of Henry Ray, who was supposed to have committed suicide by taking a dose of cyanide of potassium last Sunday, Captain Lees, a detective, created a sensation by suddenly appearing before the jury stating he had secured information as to where and how the body was buried, and that the body was found in a box in the possession of John A. Dimmig, book agent and reputed friend of the deceased. Captain Lees had ascertained that Dimmig for a few days previous to Ray's death had been almost a daily visitor to the cell of Dr. Bowers. Evidence against Dimmig was so strong that he determined to hold him on a charge of murder.

FATAL ACCIDENT AT A FUNERAL.

TITUSVILLE, O., Oct. 27.—At a funeral near Sycamore today, the team attached to the hearse, which was being driven by a young man, was run over by a passing car, and the driver was killed. The hearse was dashed to the ground, the lid torn off and the corpse rolled into a ditch by the roadside. Other teams took fright and a general panic ensued. Women fainted and jumped from the hearse, and the horses, turned loose, became entangled in the general wreck and several people were more or less seriously injured. Rev. Mr. Howells, who was to have conducted the funeral exercises, was perhaps fatally injured.

AN OLD SOLDIER'S GRATITUDE.

LOCK HAVEN, Mass., Oct. 27.—An attorney at Marblehead, Mass., informs R. C. Clair, of Milton, that a pensioner of the Civil War, who died recently at that place, has left his property, said to be worth \$18,000, to Clair, out of gratitude to the latter for having saved his life during the civil war. Both were soldiers, and Hinton was wounded at Hatcher's Run, Va., and was assisted off the field by Clair.

SOMETHING NEW IN LIFE INSURANCE.

ST. PAUL, Minn., Oct. 27.—At the office of the Insurance Commissioner in St. Paul there were filed to-day articles of one of the most peculiar insurance companies ever admitted to business in the State. The company proposes, under certain conditions, to place insurance upon the lives of persons who have been refused insurance in other companies.

ESCAPING CONVICT SHOT.

RALPHIGH, N. C., Oct. 27.—Owen Laming, a notorious desperado and horse-thief in the North Carolina Penitentiary, attempted to escape, but was fatally shot.

BRITISH EXCHANGE IN AMERICA.

NEW YORK, Oct. 27.—The papers for the organization of the British Exchange in America have been filed. The exchange will be a sort of commercial club, to which only British subjects will be eligible for membership. It will be used as a general exchange for Englishmen in America.

THE SEASON HAS BEGUN.

NORTH ADAMS, Mass., Oct. 27.—Mary Hogan, daughter of Michael Hogan, was found dead this morning in her bedroom, suffocated by coal gas from a stove. An other daughter, younger, will not survive.

THE ANARCHISTS' PLEA.

Argument on the Motion for a Writ of Error Begins in the Federal Supreme Court—The Fourteenth Amendment the Legal Battle for the Defense—Synopses of His Plea and the Reply of Attorney-General Hunt, of Illinois.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 28.—The hearing of arguments for and against the motion for a writ of error in the case of the seven condemned Anarchists, was begun yesterday in the Federal Supreme Court, before the full bench. Following is a synopsis of the plea of Hon. J. Randolph Tucker of Virginia, for the defense:

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has been deemed the Tucker act, is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied. The Tucker act is a substitute for the twenty-sixth section of the Judiciary act, gives jurisdiction to the Federal courts in cases where a right, privilege or immunity claimed under the constitution is denied.

erment and not upon the States. So far as the petitioners relied upon any thing touching in those amendments they could have no standing in this court. The fourteenth amendment was equally foreign to any right, privilege or immunity claimed by the petitioners. The record would show that the constitution of the State had made or was to enforce a law which deprived the petitioners of any of the privileges or immunities guaranteed by that constitution, but that the petitioners had no standing in this court. The petitioners had no standing in this court. The petitioners had no standing in this court.

The questions upon this application were, first, have Federal questions been raised in this case; and, second, does their character justify their review in this court? The act of 1867, which has

